

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/21/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/000,352	12/04/2001	Brian L. Dallman	87354.2900	9742
7590 07/21/2004			EXAMINER	
BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP Washington Square, Suite 1100			TRAN, HANH VAN	
1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			3637	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.

10/000,352

DALLMAN ET AL.

Examiner

Hanh V. Tran

Applicant(s)

Art Unit

3637

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 10 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Examination (RCE) in compliance wi	m 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
event, however, will the statutory peri ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN T	is from the mailing date of the final rejection. The mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no od for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. HE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
have been filed is the date for purposes of determined.	37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee mining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under ation date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any 704(b).
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed of 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any exter	n Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in sion thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s)	vill not be entered because:
(a) they raise new issues that	would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new	
issues for appeal; and/or	ace the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional c	aims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation S	
3. Applicant's reply has overcom	
4. Newly proposed or amended of canceling the non-allowable of	claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment laim(s).
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhib application in condition for all	it, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the owance because:
raised by the Examiner in the	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the p explanation of how the new o	roposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an ramended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: 1-9 and 11-	<u>17</u> .
Claim(s) withdrawn from con-	
8. The drawing correction filed of	n is a)□ approved or b)□ disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information	Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet	LANNA MAI
,	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
HVT 7/15/04	TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office / PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 2. NOTE:

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicant's remarks in the After-Final Amendment regarding Specification, Drawings, and 112(2) have been considered; however, they are not pursuasive. More specifically, although the Specification and the Drawings clearly disclosed the first embodiment of Figs 1-2, and the second embodiment of Figs 5-6, this does not mean the claimed limitation at issue found supported in the Specification and the Drawings. Further, this does not mean the claimed limitation is definite.